Structured Finance

European Auto ABS: Benefits of Transparency vs. The Double-Edged Sword of Standardisation



Special Comment

Scope Ratings welcomes the initiative to increase standardisation in the European securitisation market - if it strengthens transparency and enables better credit risk analysis of transactions. More transparency reduces unexpected risk for investors and decreases costs for underlying real economic transactions. However, standardisation of transactions can prove a double-edged sword and should not divert market participants from careful credit analysis.

Transparency increases investor universe, reduces spreads

Scope values transparency and welcomes initiatives for better disclosure among originators and issuers. Better standardisation of reported data also aids transparency through common and clearly defined delinquency and default metrics, for example. Reliable and extensive comparable data is necessary – but not sufficient – for good credit analysis.

The value of loan-level data for Auto ABS transactions is still to be exploited. We believe credit risk analysis can benefit from loan-level data as it can aggregate market information, allowing a deeper understanding of fundamental risk drivers behind Auto ABS performance. For single transactions considered in isolation, loan-level data is mostly useful for leveraging the originator's knowledge of credits in the securitised portfolio.

We believe investor demand rewards transparency, as it enables correct assessment of risks. More transparent issuances should attract a broader investor universe, which, combined with reduced transaction costs, leads to lower financing costs for the originator.

Thorough analysis becomes simpler

A thorough analysis of each securitisation transaction cannot be waived, even when transparency and standardisation exist. Investors still have to look at the interplay of asset quality, financial structure and counterparty risk - the main pillars of Scope's structured finance rating methodologies. But the key point here is that transparency through standardisation allows investors to more efficiently and accurately assess their investment, and reduces the risk of unexpected losses in their portfolio, and systemic risk in the industry as a result.

Standardisation of features can lead to less scrutiny

Scope cautions on the risk of reduced scrutiny when standardisation of transactions, features and characteristics is in place. The illusion of control through standardisation can lead to less scrutiny among market participants. A noteworthy example, 'standard' subprime RMBS in the US was - combined with other factors - at the core of the financial crisis.

Our credit analysis of Auto ABS transactions will see through apparent standardisation to capture differences in the credit quality of securitisations – an approach we believe sound investors will endorse.

Analysts

Carlos Terre

+49-30-27891-242 c.terre@scoperatings.com

Guillaume Jolivet

+49-30-27891-241 g.jolivet@scoperatings.com

Business Development

Michael MacKenzie

+44-782-3338-061 m.mackenzie@scoperatings.com

Press

Andre Fischer

+49-30-27891-150 a.fischer@scoperatings.com

Scope Ratings AG

Lennéstraße 5 10785 Berlin

Phone +49-30-27891-0 Fax +49-30-27891-100 Service +49-30-27891-300

info@scoperatings.com www.scoperatings.com





in 🥑 Bloomberg: SCOP

22 September 2015 1/3



European Auto ABS: Benefits of Transparency vs. The Double-Edged Sword of Standardisation

Standardisation of features could distort Auto ABS market

We see a risk of market distortion if standardisation goes beyond common definitions for information provided to market participants. Standardisation of transactions that excludes certain collateral types would alter Auto ABS characteristics, and that against the backdrop of the unquestionable credit performance of this asset class, due as much to auto investors' preferences as to consumer financing needs.

For good reason, forms of Auto ABS vary between European jurisdictions. Forced standardisation would ignore the different designs of auto financing in Europe, distorting the individual market's established business models with a risk of impairing the economy at a pan-European level.

Residual value in Auto ABS

Regulators see residual value in Auto ABS transactions as a source of risk which does not belong to a securitisation because it has an important component of market value risk. It is currently being discussed whether Auto ABS transactions with exposure to residual value should also benefit from favourable regulatory capital requirements.

In Scope's view, this discussion is driven largely by semantics because any securitisation of secured exposures – whether Auto ABS, RMBS or SME CLO – is effectively exposed to market value risk. Upon default of the obligor, collateral is typically liquidated by selling it on the market. This is when market value risk becomes relevant, and why rating agencies and investors haircut the collateral value when calculating loss given default. While residual value risk is more prominent in transactions where only the residual value is securitised (i.e. pure asset financing), it is less common in standard Auto ABS, where typically the dealer, the borrower or the lessee will bear residual value risk. Which indeed makes the assumption of market value risk in Auto ABS similar to that in RMBS or SME CLO.

Coordinating role of securitisation

Elimination of certain structural features from Auto ABS structures could reduce the ability of securitisation to coordinate consumer demand for credit and investors' supply of savings. In this respect 'simpler' and standardised structures could be less efficient, which makes them indirectly weaker from a credit point of view.

Without interest rate swaps, for example, the risk of interest rate mismatches has to be covered by credit enhancement, resulting in higher Auto ABS costs that are ultimately passed to end consumers. Standardisation also reduces flexibility in meeting the needs of real-money investors'.

Conclusion

Standardisation is a double-edged sword: it can significantly increase efficiency in markets when it is the result from a market-driven assessment on the marginal cost and marginal benefit of transparency; but it can also bias market agents towards suboptimal and inefficient practices.

As a final remark, the discussion of transparency and standardisation of Auto ABS in Europe should ultimately acknowledge the very good historical performance of Auto ABS in our continent, particularly during the last financial crisis.

22 September 2015 2/3



European Auto ABS: Benefits of Transparency vs. The Double-Edged Sword of Standardisation

Scope Ratings AG

Headquaters Berlin

Lennéstraße 5 D-10785 Berlin

Phone +49 30 27891 0

Paris

21, boulevard Haussmann F-75009 Paris

Phone +33 1 5343 2989

info@scoperatings.com www.scoperatings.com

Frankfurt am Main

Rüsterstraße 1 D-60325 Frankfurt

Phone +49 69 97944 754

Madrid

Paseo de la Castellana 95 Edificio Torre Europa E-28046 Madrid

Phone +34 914 186 973

London

The Gridiron Building One Pancras Square London N1C 4AG, UK

Phone +44 203 7144 982

Disclaimer

© 2015 Scope Corporation AG and all its subsidiaries including Scope Ratings AG, Scope Analysis GmbH, Scope Capital Services GmbH (collectively, Scope). All rights reserved. The information and data supporting Scope's ratings, rating reports, rating opinions and related research and credit opinions originate from sources Scope considers to be reliable and accurate. Scope cannot however independently verify the reliability and accuracy of the information and data. Scope's ratings, rating reports, rating opinions, or related research and credit opinions are provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind. In no circumstance shall Scope or its directors, officers, employees and other representatives be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental or otherwise dam-ages, expenses of any kind, or losses arising from any use of Scope's ratings, rating reports, rating opinions, related research or credit opinions. Ratings and other related credit opinions issued by Scope are, and have to be viewed by any party, as opinions on relative credit risk and not as a statement of fact or recommendation to purchase, hold or sell securities. Past performance does not necessarily predict future results. Any report issued by Scope is not a prospectus or similar document related to a debt security or issuing entity. Scope issues credit ratings and related research and opinions with the understanding and expectation that parties using them will assess independently the suitability of each security for investment or transaction purposes. Scope's credit ratings address relative credit risk, they do not address other risks such as market, liquidity, legal, or volatility. The information and data included herein is protected by copyright and other laws. To reproduce, transmit, transfer, disseminate, translate, resell, or store for subsequent use for any such purpose the information and data contained herein, contact Scope Ratings AG at Lennéstraße 5 D-10785 Berlin.

22 September 2015 3/3