

ECB liquidity risk stress tests: a step forward but with two caveats

Scope
Ratings

The introduction of sensitivity analysis of liquidity risk in the ECB's supervisory stress test for 2019 is a welcome step forward from the more traditional stress tests targeting capital levels in adverse scenarios (like the EBA-ECB exercises completed last year). As a reminder, the Global Financial Crisis started with banks running into severe liquidity and funding difficulties before additionally facing capital depletion.

Since the crisis, regulators have implemented several significant prudential steps to strengthen the level and mix of banks' liquidity and funding, such as the Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR), the Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR), and norms on minimum levels of High Quality Liquid Assets (HQLA). Gone are the days when risky structured products carrying fake top ratings counted as liquid assets, or when too many banks aimed to maximise profits by gleefully mis-matching assets and liabilities in both currency and maturity.

And yet over the post-crisis decade, stress-testing regulatory capital compliance has remained a lodestar for supervisors, mostly through worst-case scenarios replicating crisis millstones: a decline in GDP and in house prices, a surge in unemployment etc. This was despite the reality of new categories of risk emerging for banks, such as those related to cyber-attacks, misconduct, or ESG, which can harm a bank in a less predictable and gradual way and also with greater force.

This is why the ECB's liquidity-risk stress test, announced on 6 February, is a much-needed step forward. The four-month exercise kicking off this week is focusing on banks' expected short-term cash flows (six months from the end of 2018) to calculate a "survival period", which is the number of days a bank can continue its activities using the available cash and collateral without any additional funding. It is aimed solely at the potential impact of idiosyncratic liquidity shocks on each bank. It will not assess the potential causes of the shocks or the impact of wider market turbulence and should be carried out without reference to monetary policy decisions.

Tentatively the aggregate results of the exercise will be disclosed during the second half of this year, after the ECB supervisors have had the chance to discuss the individual results with each bank. Individual results will not be disclosed publicly, and rightly so: a bank with stress-test capital flagged as borderline would normally have the time to remedy it; a bank shown with borderline stress-test liquidity is likely to see investors and depositors racing for the hills as fast as they can.

Two caveats

But there are two caveats. The first refers to the idiosyncratic shocks to liquidity being stress-tested. According to the ECB, a moderate shock would see limited-scale deposit outflow, a freeze in wholesale funding, and a one-notch rating downgrade. A harsh shock would see severe deposit run-offs, plus a three-notch rating downgrade (plus access to wholesale funds remaining frozen).

However, rating downgrades are sometimes lagging indicators, and less quantifiable risk categories – cyber, misconduct, ESG – can hit with very little warning to investors or to rating agencies. Also, the shocks will be based on patterns identified by ECB supervisors in recent bank-specific liquidity crises. Again, in light of the potentially harmful impact of the new risk categories, this could in some instances be tantamount to fighting yesterday's battles.

Analyst

Sam Theodore
+44 7769 321043
s.theodore@scoperatings.com

Scope Ratings GmbH

Suite 301
2 Angel Square
London EC1V 1NY
Phone +44 20 3457 0444

Headquarters

Lennéstraße 5
10785 Berlin
Phone +49 30 27891 0
Fax +49 30 27891 100

info@scoperatings.com
www.scoperatings.com



Bloomberg: SCOP

Second, the stress test focuses on idiosyncratic shocks only and makes no reference to systemic liquidity crises such as changes in risk premia or asset valuations, etc. The liquidity shocks will also not be based on macroeconomic or geopolitical scenarios. However, in today's highly interconnected world – for investors and also for depositors – one bank's idiosyncratic shock can quickly propagate to become a more general meltdown, especially in the specific banking sector of the bank in shock.

The weakest-link pattern of market behaviour is more evident for a shortage of liquidity than for a shortage of capital. Liquidity outliers are more threatening to a banking system than capital outliers. Supervisory reactions to the former also need to be much faster than to the latter.



ECB liquidity risk stress tests: a step forward but with two caveats

Scope Ratings GmbH

Headquarters Berlin

Lennéstraße 5
D-10785 Berlin

Phone +49 30 27891 0

London

Suite 301
2 Angel Square
London EC1V 1NY

Phone +44 20 3457 0444

Oslo

Haakon VII's gate 6
N-0161 Oslo

Phone +47 21 62 31 42

Frankfurt am Main

Neue Mainzer Straße 66-68
D-60311 Frankfurt am Main

Phone +49 69 66 77 389 0

Madrid

Paseo de la Castellana 95
Edificio Torre Europa
E-28046 Madrid

Phone +34 914 186 973

Paris

1 Cour du Havre
F-75008 Paris

Phone +33 1 8288 5557

Milan

Via Paleocapa 7
IT-20121 Milan

Phone +39 02 30315 814

info@scoperatings.com

www.scoperatings.com

Disclaimer

© 2019 Scope SE & Co. KGaA and all its subsidiaries including Scope Ratings GmbH, Scope Analysis GmbH, Scope Investor Services GmbH and Scope Risk Solutions GmbH (collectively, Scope). All rights reserved. The information and data supporting Scope's ratings, rating reports, rating opinions and related research and credit opinions originate from sources Scope considers to be reliable and accurate. Scope does not, however, independently verify the reliability and accuracy of the information and data. Scope's ratings, rating reports, rating opinions, or related research and credit opinions are provided 'as is' without any representation or warranty of any kind. In no circumstance shall Scope or its directors, officers, employees and other representatives be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental or other damages, expenses of any kind, or losses arising from any use of Scope's ratings, rating reports, rating opinions, related research or credit opinions. Ratings and other related credit opinions issued by Scope are, and have to be viewed by any party as, opinions on relative credit risk and not a statement of fact or recommendation to purchase, hold or sell securities. Past performance does not necessarily predict future results. Any report issued by Scope is not a prospectus or similar document related to a debt security or issuing entity. Scope issues credit ratings and related research and opinions with the understanding and expectation that parties using them will assess independently the suitability of each security for investment or transaction purposes. Scope's credit ratings address relative credit risk, they do not address other risks such as market, liquidity, legal, or volatility. The information and data included herein is protected by copyright and other laws. To reproduce, transmit, transfer, disseminate, translate, resell, or store for subsequent use for any such purpose the information and data contained herein, contact Scope Ratings GmbH at Lennéstraße 5 D-10785 Berlin.

Scope Ratings GmbH, Lennéstraße 5, 10785 Berlin, District Court for Berlin (Charlottenburg) HRB 192993 B, Managing Directors: Torsten Hinrichs and Guillaume Jolivet.